## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,449	07/29/2003	David Victor Jones	51307CIP1 (GCSD1443)	5730
27975 7590 09/11/2007 ALLEN. DYER. DOPPELT. MILBRATH & GILCHRIST P.A.			EXAMINER	
10/629,449 07/29/2003 David Victor Jones 513  27975 7590 09/11/2007 ALLEN, DYER, DOPPELT, MILBRATH & GILCHRIST P.A. 1401 CITRUS CENTER 255 SOUTH ORANGE AVENUE P.O. BOX 3791 ORLANDO, FL 32802-3791	D AGOSTA,	D AGOSTA, STEPHEN M		
	=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
• .			2617	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	09/11/2007	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

creganoa@addmg.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/629,449	JONES ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Stephen M. D'Agosta	2617				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period fo	r Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE as a sound of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N.  nely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•						
1)  ズ	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 Al</u>	ugust 2007					
_		action is non-final.					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims	,, ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., .,					
<del>-</del>	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) 19-21 is/are allowed.						
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5, 8 and 10-18</u> is/are rejected.						
_	Claim(s) <u>6-7 and 9</u> is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
		r					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • •	, ,				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
dee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
3) 🔲 Infor	B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) U Other:							

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

- 1. The Terminal Disclaimer is acknowledged. Thank you.
- 2. The claim objections are overcome from the amendment and explanation provided by the applicant.
- 3. After reconsideration, a new rejection is found below (note that some claims which were previously rejected now stand as containing novel material).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 8 and 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moore et al US 4,251,865 in view of Lin US 5,881,064 and Harrison US 2002/10172218.

As per claims 1-5, 8 and 10-18, Moore teaches a method of conducting wireless packetized digital communications between a first transceiver device and a second transceiver device, geographically remote with respect to said first transceiver device (abstract; figure 1), said method comprising the steps of:

(c) In response to a poll acknowledgement message indicating that a first wireless transceiver (read as portable unit) has data to send, wirelessly transmitting,

Application/Control Number: 10/629,449

Art Unit: 2617

from a second transceiver device to said first transceiver device, a data request message (column 5, lines 28-37).

- (d) In response to receipt of data request message, wirelessly transmitting, from said first transceiver device to said second transceiver device, a data message containing a plurality of data packets (column 5, lines 30-45; figure 7).
- (e1) In response to receipt of said data message, storing data contained in data packets of said data message (column 5, lines 38-57).
- (f) Wirelessly transmitting from said second transceiver device to said first transceiver device, a data acknowledgement message that includes said information representative of any data packets missing from said data message (column 5, lines 50-55),

but is silent on the method comprising the steps of:

- (a) the system including a relay node between said sourcing site and said reception site containing at least three successive transceiver devices,
- (<u>b</u>) Selectively wirelessly transmitting a polling message to said first transceiver device from said second transceiver device.
- <u>c</u>) In response to receipt of said polling message, wirelessly transmitting, from said first transceiver device to said second transceiver device, a poll acknowledgement message representative of whether said transceiver device has data and the quantity of data to be sent.
- e2) and storing information representative of any data packets missing from said data message.

The examiner notes that TCP/IP, a well known packet transport protocol, inherently provides the retransmission of any packets which are received in error (it also provides re-sequencing of packets received out of order as well). Hence TCP/IP would provide for "storing information about packets missing from a data message" and request retransmission of said packets. Further to this point is **LIN**, who teaches:

".. The data communications network 10 of the present invention resolves this problem, by using reliable transport protocol, such as, or similar to the Internet TCP protocol. The

Page 4

Art Unit: 2617

TCP protocol is as follows: when TCP sends a data packet it maintains a timer, waiting for the other end to acknowledge reception of the data packet. If an acknowledgment is not received on time, the data packet is <a href="retransmitted">retransmitted</a>, and therefore data packets are not <a href="lost">lost</a>. When using the Internet, if data is <a href="lost">lost</a>, the data will have to be resent. However, by using the present invention, the fill codes maintain real-time communication over the Internet". C15, L52 to C16, L2

Harrison clearly shows and discloses a method further comprising the steps of:

- (a) Selectively wirelessly transmitting a polling message to said first transceiver device (read as master device) from said second transceiver device (read as slave device) (paragraphs 29 and 30; figure 1); (the slave device polls the master device to request the establishment of a wireless connection).
- (b) In response to receipt of said polling message, wirelessly transmitting, from said first transceiver device to said second transceiver device, a poll acknowledgement message representative of whether said transceiver device has data and the quantity of data to be sent (paragraphs 29 and 30; figure 1. When the master device receives the polling signal, it generates a response to the polling signal thereby accepting the wireless connection).

Furthermore, Harrison (see figure 2) shows "relay" nodes (eg. access points, #2) which relay data between data sources and reception nodes (eg. users devices #3-8 and #15-16). The relay/access node can be used in a cellular network and/or a Bluetooth network – the examiner also notes one skilled understands that both cellular and Bluetooth networks support the concept of relay towers/devices – see both Lovinggood and Forstadius, pertinent but not cited, who teach respectively a cellular relay figures 1-2 and a Bluetooth relay, figure 2).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Moore, such that multiple transceivers/repeaters and ACK's are used, to provide the ability to create a large coverage area where data packets are ACK/NACK'ed for optimal transmission.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,449

Art Unit: 2617

As per claims 2-3, 10, 12-13, 15-16 the combo teaches claim 1/2/12/14, but is silent on wherein step (g) comprises wirelessly transmitting from said data reception device to said data transmission device, a data acknowledgement message that requests said data transmission device to transmit all packets except for specifically identified packets.

Moore does teach a similar concept (C5, L50-57).

The examiner notes that TCP/IP, a well known packet transport protocol, inherently provides the retransmission of any packets which are received in error (it also provides re-sequencing of packets received out of order as well). Hence TCP/IP would provide for "storing information about packets missing from a data message" and request retransmission of said packets. Further to this point is **LIN**, who teaches:

"..The data communications network 10 of the present invention resolves this problem, by using reliable transport protocol, such as, or similar to the <a href="Internet TCP protocol">Internet TCP protocol</a>. The TCP protocol is as follows: when TCP sends a data packet it maintains a timer, waiting for the other end to acknowledge reception of the data packet. If an acknowledgment is not received on time, the data packet is <a href="retransmitted">retransmitted</a>, and therefore data packets are not <a href="lost">lost</a>. When using the Internet, if data is <a href="lost">lost</a>, the data will have to be resent. However, by using the present invention, the fill codes maintain real-time communication over the Internet". C15, L52 to C16, L2

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify, such that

With further regard to claims 12-13, 15-16, Lin teaches resending data not received, which would inherently include at least one re-send attempt. One skilled would provide for a manner in which to vary the number of times the resend is repeated (eg. if the environment is highly noisy, one would provide for several repeat attempts).

As per **claims 4-5**, the combo teaches claim 1, **but is silent on** wherein step (g) comprises wirelessly transmitting from said data reception device to said data transmission device, a data acknowledgement message that requests said data transmission device to retransmit all packets of the last data message.

Moore does teach transmitting and receiving data and a process to ensure the data is received correctly (Col 5, L40-45).

The examiner notes that TCP/IP, a well known packet transport protocol, inherently provides the retransmission of any packets which are received in error (it also provides re-sequencing of packets received out of order as well). Hence TCP/IP would provide for "storing information about packets missing from a data message" and request retransmission of said packets. Further to this point is **LIN**, who teaches:

"..The data communications network 10 of the present invention resolves this problem, by using reliable transport protocol, such as, or similar to the <u>Internet TCP protocol</u>. The TCP protocol is as follows: when TCP sends a data packet it maintains a timer, waiting for the other end to acknowledge reception of the data packet. If an acknowledgment is not received on time, the data packet is <u>retransmitted</u>, and therefore data packets are not <u>lost</u>. When using the Internet, if data is <u>lost</u>, the data will have to be resent. However, by using the present invention, the fill codes maintain real-time communication over the Internet". C15, L52 to C16, L2

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combo, such that step (g) comprises wirelessly transmitting from said data reception device to said data transmission device, a data acknowledgement message that requests said data transmission device to retransmit all packets of the last data message, to provide means for retransmitting lost packets.

As per **claims 17-18**, the combo teaches claim **14 but is silent on** wherein a to conduct a attempts before the respective transceiver is operative prescribed number of retransmission declaring a packet effectively lost.

The examiner notes that TCP/IP, a well known packet transport protocol, inherently provides the retransmission of any packets which are received in error (it also provides re-sequencing of packets received out of order as well). Hence TCP/IP would provide for "storing information about packets missing from a data message" and request retransmission of said packets. Further to this point is **LIN**, who teaches:

"..The data communications network 10 of the present invention resolves this problem, by using reliable transport protocol, such as, or similar to the <a href="Internet TCP protocol">Internet TCP protocol</a>. The TCP protocol is as follows: when TCP sends a data packet it maintains a timer, waiting for the other end to acknowledge reception of the data packet. If an acknowledgment is not received on time, the data packet is <a href="retransmitted">retransmitted</a>, and therefore data packets are not <a href="lost">lost</a>. When using the Internet, if data is <a href="lost">lost</a>, the data will have to be resent. However, by using the present invention, the fill codes maintain real-time communication over the Internet". C15, L52 to C16, L2

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combo, such that it attempts before the respective transceiver is operative prescribed number of retransmission declaring a packet effectively lost, to provide means for determining if a packet is not received/lost and the ability to take corrective action(s).

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 19-21 are allowed.
- 2. <u>Claims 6-7 and 9</u> objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art of record does not specifically teach "wherein step (g) comprises assembling said data acknowledgement message in accordance with the contents of said resend matrix of said group storage section of said memory of said second transceiver device".

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- 1. Lovinggood et al. US 6,934,511
- 2. Forstadius et al. US 7,200,130

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/629,449

Art Unit: 2617

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 9

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. D'Agosta whose telephone number is 571-272-7862. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Trost can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

STEVE M. D'AGOSTA PRIMARY EXAMINER

8-29-01